# FACTORS AFFECTING THE QUALITY OF FREEZE-DRIED GREEN BEANS

Ву

Abdul R. Rahman George R. Taylor Kenneth Miller Karl R. Johnson

September 1969

UNITED STATES ARMY
NATICK LABORATORIES
Natick, Massachusetts 01760



Food Laboratory FL-97 This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

Citation of trade names in this report does not constitute an official indorsement or approval of the use of such items.

Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited

AD

TECHNICAL REPORT 70-20-FL

FACTORS AFFECTING THE QUALITY OF FREEZE-DRIED GREEN BEANS

by

Abdul R. Rahman George R. Taylor Kenneth Hiller Karl R. Johnson

September 1969

Project reference 1J5-52708-0553

Series: FL-97

Food Laboratory
U.S. ARMY NATICK LABORATORIES
Natick, Massachusetts 01750

### FOREWORD

Freeze-dried foods have been accepted by consumers due to their superior quality as compared with foods dried by conventional methods. Their use in the Military operational rations is increasing steadily and is expected to continue upward when the Armed Forces Feeding system shifts more towards convenience foods. Availability of freeze-dried fruits and vegetables such as peas is not assured all year round due to seasonal production and processing.

This work was undertaken to provide data concerning the development of dehydrated food items from frozen commercial products, thus making it possible to procure dehydrated fruits and vegetables needed for military rations at any time of the year.

This work was conducted under Project No. 1J5-62708-D553, Food Processing and Preservation Techniques.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1		Page
List of Tables		v
Abstract		vi
Introduction		ıl "
Experimental Procedures		1
Results and Discussion	•	2
Conclusions		3
References		14

# LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.	Texture of Rehydrated Green Beans as Affected by Treatments and Storage Temperature	5
2.	Rehydration Rates (Rehydrated and Dry Weight) of Freeze-Dried Green Beans as Affected by Treatments and Storage Temperature	6
3.	Average Ratings (Technological Panel) of Rehydrated Green Beans as Affected by Treatments and Storage Temperature	7
4•	Average acceptance Ratings (Consumer Panel) of Rehydrated Green Beans as Affected by Treatments and Storage Temperature	- 8
5.	Analysis of Variance Results	9

#### ABSTRACT

The effect of extended blanching, sulfiting and packaging on the quality of freeze-dried green beans prepared from commercially frozen products and stored for six months at  $100^{\circ}$ F. were investigated. Results indicated that acceptable freeze-dried green beans can be prepared from commercial frozen green beans by freeze-drying without any further treatments and packaging in tin cans under vacuum or nitrogen.

### FACTORS AFFECTING THE QUALITY OF FREEZE-DRIED GREEN BEANS

### Introduction

Freeze-dried foods are of great importance in meals where rapid rehydration is required such as in the quick serve meal. However, since the production season of fresh green beans is relatively short, procurement of freeze-dried green beans is closely related to and significantly affected by seasonal changes.

Information on the use of frozen instead of fresh green beans for the production of freeze-dried green beans is limited, incomplete and inconclusive in regard to the effect of thawing, refreezing, reblanching, and sulfiting on the quality of the finished product. Therefore, this work was carried out to determine the effect of such variables on the quality of freeze-dried green beans. Work of somewhat similar nature has been conducted on other vegetables. Pettit (1953) reported that green beans which had been frozen prior to heated-air dehydration were greatly superior in acceptability and rehydration characteristics to non-frozen beans. However, when peas are dehydrated by the prefreeze method they are not materially better than when not prefrozen. Moyer, et. al. (1959) stated that freezing and thawing increases slightly the drying rates of older peas. He also indicated that increasing the blanch increased the rehydration ratic. Rahman et. al. (1959) found that acceptable freeze-dried peas can be prepared from commercial individually quick frozen (IQF) peas by thawing, slitting, sulfiting, refreezing, freeze-drying and packaging in tin cans under vacuum or nitrogen. Rahman et. al. (1969) indicated that freezedried corn of a acceptable quality can be prepared by freeze-drying commercially frozen corn without any further treatments.

## Experimental Procedures

Frozen cross-cut green beans were purchased from the local retail market. The green beans were thawed, divided into two lots and one lot blanched for 3 minutes in boiling water. This was an extended blanch (the commercially frozen green beans had been blanched prior to freezing) to assure the in-activation of the peroxidase enzyme before freeze-drying. The second lot was not blanched.

One-half of each lot was sulfited by dipping in solution of sodium metasulfite to yield approximately 500 ppm. All the lots were refrozen at -20°F, and then freeze-dried with a platen temperature of 120°F, for 15 hours.

Half of the freeze-dried green beans for each lot were packed in No.  $2-\frac{1}{2}$  tin cans under nitrogen and the other half under vacuum. Representative samples of each variable were stored at  $70^{\circ}$  F. and  $100^{\circ}$  F. for a period of 6 months.

Freeze-dried green beans wer: rehydrated by placing replicate samples in boiling water (approximately 4-1 ratio water to green beans by weight) and allowing to stand for 12 minutes in a covered pan. Additional heat was not applied. The texture of rehydrated green beans was measured with the Lee-Kramer shear press immediately after rehydration using the regular cell with 30 seconds down stroke. Rehydration ratio was determined by dividing the rehydrated weight of the green beans by the dry weight.

Technological panel evaluations for flavor, texture and color were conducted by 10 trained judges using a 9-point scale (1 = extremely poor; 9 = excellent). Overall acceptability of the green beans was determined by a consumer panel of 32 judges using a 9-point Hedonic scale (1 = dislike extremely; 9 = like extremely).

## desults and Discussion

Results of the texture as measured by the shear press, rehydration ratios, technological panel ratings and consumer panel ratings, are shown in Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively. Analysis of variance of these results are shown in Table 5.

Significant differences in texture due to treatments were exhibited when the green beans were stored for 3 months at 70 or  $100^{\circ} F$ . Although unblanched green beans generally showed tougher texture, significantly higher differences were found only in samples which were unblanched, with or without  $SO_2$  and packed under vacuum. They were significantly higher than the rest. However, these differences disappeared at longer storage periods since no apparent difference between the results of treatments were evident after 5 months of storage at 70 or  $100^{\circ} F$ .

The rehydration ratio of blanched green beans was somewhat higher than for the unblanched product regardless of time and temperature of storage. However, no treatment can be singled out which consistently exhibited significantly higher rehydration ratio throughout these studies. Results of the technological ratings indicate no important difference between the various treatments. The consumer panel ratings showed marked differences between specific treatments such as that for green beans blanched, treated with SO<sub>2</sub> packed under nitrogen and stored for 5 months at 70° f. which received significantly lower ratings than the rest. However, no significant difference can be shown between samples stored for 5 months at 100° f. The oxygen analysis in the cans for green beans packed under vacuum ranged from 0.5 to 1.5% and 2.7 to 4.4% for cans packed under nitrogen. However, these differences did not affect the quality as indicated by the technological as well as the consumer panel ratings for green beans stored for 5 months at 100° f.

# Conclusions

Freeze-dried green beans of acceptable quality meeting the military requirements of storage stability for 5 months at 100°F can be prepared from commercially frozen green beans by freeze-drying without any further treatments and packaging in tin cans under vacuum or nitrogen.

#### **Heferences**

- 1. Moyer, J.C., D.B. Hand, W.B. Robinson, R.S. Schallenberger, and M.R. Fallesen. Factors in raw material and processing influencing the reconstitution of dehydrated peas. Contract No. DA 19-129-QM-584, Quartermaster food and Container Institute for the Armed Forces, Chicago, Illinois 1959.
- 2. Pettit, L.A., Dehydration of green beans and peas, Activities Report, Vol. 5. No. 1, P. 20-22, for the Armed Forces, published by the Research and Development Associates, Food and Container Institute, Chicago, Illinois. 1953.
- 3. Rahman, A.R., K. Miller, and G. Schafer, Factors affecting the quality of freeze-dried peas. 70-8-FL (FL-94). U.S. Army Natick Laboratories, Natick, Massachusetts. August 1969.
- 4. Rahman, A.R., G. Taylor, K. Miller, and K.A. Johnson, Factors affecting the quality of freeze-dried corn. 70-17-FL (FL-96) U.S. Army Natick Laboratories, Natick, Massachusetts. September 1969.

Table 1. Texture of Rehydrated Green Beans as Affected by Treatments and Storage Temperature as measured by the Lee-Kramer Shear Press

		Storage Te	mperature	Storage 1	'emperature
		70° F.		100°	F.
Treatments	Initial	3 mo.	6 mo.	3 mo.	5 mo.
\$	Lbs	Lbs	Lbs	Lbs	Ĺbs
Blanched, SO <sub>2</sub> , N <sub>2</sub>	116	129	135	140	139
Blanched, SO <sub>2</sub> , Vac	118	דוֹתַב	131	148	131
Blanched, N <sub>2</sub>	114	130	124	143	139
Blanched, Vac	114	146	139	122	141
so <sub>2</sub> , N <sub>2</sub>	149	178	144	153.	158
SO <sub>2</sub> , Vac	148	190	159	218	156
N <sub>2</sub>	164	174	172	183	165
Vac	179	190	164	188	154

Table 2. Kehydration Ratios (Rehydrated and Dry Weight) of Freeze-Dried Green Beans as Affected by Treatments and Storage Temperatures

		Storage Tem	perature	Storage T	emperature
		70°F.			o <sub>F</sub> .
Treatments	Initial	3 mo.	6 mo.	3 mo.	5 mo.
			122		
Blanched, SO <sub>2</sub> , N <sub>2</sub>	15.36	13.20	13.38	12.83	13.36
Bianched, SO Vac	15.53	14.30	13.91	12.85	12.99
Blanched, N	15.66	13.61	12.74	12.59	12.79
Blanched, Vac	15 <b>.7</b> 3	12.95	12.52	13.62	13.33
so <sub>2</sub> , N <sub>2</sub>	14.10	13.10	1354	11.43	11.92
SO Vac	14.20	11.98	11.50	11.27	11.82
N <sub>2</sub>	12.90	10.73	10.38	10.77	10.81
Vac	12.70	11.65	10.50	10.91	10.91

Table 3. Average Ratings (Technological Panel) of Rehydrated Green Beans as Affected by Treatments and Storage Temperature

	Storage Temperature			Storage Temperature		
Treatments	Initial	70° F.	5 mo.	3 mo.	5 mo.	
Blanched, SO <sub>2</sub> , N <sub>2</sub>	5.9	5.7	5.4	5.2	5.7	
Blanched, SO <sub>2</sub> , Vac	5.3	4.7	5.2	5.2	5.8	
Blanched, N <sub>2</sub>	6.1	5.8	5.4	5.0	5.5	
Blanched, Vac	6.3	5.8	5.2	5.3	5.5	
SO <sub>2</sub> , N <sub>2</sub>	6.4	5.1 .	5.2	5.7	5.7	
SO <sub>2</sub> , Vac	6.3	<b>5.</b> 3	5.1	5.8	<b>5.</b> 8	
·N <sub>2</sub>	6.4	5.4	5.0	5.3	5.2	
Vac	6.5	4.7	5.5	5.1	5.6	

Table 4. Average Acceptance Ratings (Consumer Panel) of Rehydrated Green Beans as Affected by Treatments and Storage Temperature

Storage Temperature Stor				
	70° F.		100° F.	
Initial	3 mo.	6 mo.	3 mo.	5 mo.
6.2	5.6	5.2	4.9	5.5
6.1	5.8	5.9	5.3	5.5
5.9	5.7	5•9	5.0	5.4
<b>5.</b> 9	5.9	5.0	5.4	5.6
5.9	5.8	5.4	5.6	5.5
5.9	5.8	6.1	5.3	5.1
5.6	5.8	5.6	5.4	5.7
5.5	5 <b>.</b> 6	5.3	5.0	5.6
	6.1 5.9 5.9 5.9 5.9	Initial 70°  Initial 3 mo.  6.2 5.6  6.1 5.8  5.9 5.7  5.9 5.9  5.9 5.8  5.9 5.8  5.6 5.8	Initial 3 mo. 6 mo.  5.2 5.6 5.2  6.1 5.8 5.9  5.9 5.7 5.9  5.9 5.9 6.0  5.9 5.8 6.1  5.6 5.8 6.6	Initial       70° F.       100°         3 mo.       6 mo.       3 mo.         6.2       5.6       5.2       4.9         6.1       5.8       5.9       5.3         5.9       5.7       5.9       5.0         5.9       5.9       5.0       5.4         5.9       5.8       5.4       5.6         5.9       5.8       6.1       5.3         5.6       5.8       6.6       5.4

Table 5. Analysis of Variance Mesults

actor	Technological Panel Mating	Consumer Panel Rating	Texture Shear Press	Rehydration Katio
reatments	N.S.	*	*	*
'emperature	N.S.	*	N.S.	N.S.
lime	N.S.	*	*	N.S.
lime x Temperature	N.S.	*	N.S.	*
* = <b>!&gt;</b> 0.05		N.S. = Not si	gnificant at l	P <b>&gt;</b> 0.05

Unclassified	
Security Classification	
	ROL DATA - R & D
	annotetion must be entered when the overall report is classified)
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)	28. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
US Army Natick Laboratories	Unclassified
	2b. SHOUP
Natick, Massachusetts 01760	
3. REPORT TITLE	
Factors Affecting the Quality of Freez	ze-Dried Green Beans
Address Annual Control Annual Control Control	20 Panes - 10711 WYW.
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
s. AUTHOR(S) (First mane, middle initial, fast name)	
Abdul R. Rahman, George R. Taylor, Ken	neth Miller and Kanl R Johnson
About to Maillian, dedice to raylor, not	HEAR MITTEL SHO WELL IN ACHIENT
S. REPORT DATE	78. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 75. NO. OF REFS
Santamban 1060	
September 1969	Se. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)
	70-20-FL
A. PROJECT NO.	10-20-11
136-62708-0553	9b. OTHER REPORT NOIS) (Any other numbers that may be seeigned
<b>c.</b>	this report)
<b>G.</b>	FL-97
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT	
	ublic release and sale; its distribution
is unlimited.	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY
	US Army Natick Laboratories
	Natick, Massachusetts 01760
3. ADSTRACT	
<u> </u>	
The effect of extended blanching, sult	fiting and packaging on the quality of
freeze-dried green beans prepared from	
scored for six months at 100°F. were i	
acceptable freeze-uried green beans ca	
	any further treatments and packaging in
tin cans under vacuum or ritrogen.	\$ to be detailed

)

Unclassified

Security Classification

Unclassified

KEY WORDS	LINK A	LINK S	K S LINK C		
	ROLE WT	ROLE WT	ROLE W		
Freeze drying Frozen foods Green beans Military rations Blanching Sulfiting Packaging Quality Thawing Freezing Nitrogen Vacuum Acceptability					

Unclassified Security Classification